APPROVED 1 2 **HDC MEETING** 3 **SEPTEMBER 3, 2020** 4 5 Chair Rodney Rowland, Vice Chair Jeff Hughes, Tom Maher, Kate Murray, Members 6 Irene Bush and Judy Groppa Present: 7 8 9 Due to Covid 19, the meeting was held via Zoom. 10 11 Chair Rowland called the meeting of the New Castle HDC to order at 6:30 pm. Rowland stated there was one public hearing on the agenda and advised that the application was published, fees 12 paid and abutters notified. Rowland, Hughes, Maher, Murray, and Bush will be voting. It was 13 asked that guests state their name clearly and spell it. 14 15 Chair Rowland stated that Amy Dixon and Nadine Miller, from the Certified Local Government 16 17 ("CLG"), were joining the meeting to talk about the certified local government program and next steps for New Castle. 18 19 20 1. Meeting with Amy Dixon and Nadine Miller, Certified Local Government Program 21 22 Nadine Miller stated they check in with certified local government communities 23 every 4 years and report back to the Park Service. New Castle is new to the CLG 24 so this is the first time checking in. Miller had a list of questions and issues New 25 26 Castle may be facing, including questions about the survey project and how it is moving forward. She stated that in the next month New Castle could submit a 27 Letter of Intent and apply for a grant for the next round of the survey. 28 29 30 Miller stated the first question deals with enforcing appropriate local and state laws and whether New Castle is enforcing its local preservation ordinance; are the 31 Board's decisions fairly consistent and is the Board using Secretary of the Interior 32 Standards in their decision making? Miller asked if there were any glitches with 33 meetings and reviews. 34 35 36 The Chair stated that, as of late, the projects have been really small. During Covid, there has not been a lot of activity. Today's hearing is for replacement of 37 a front door; another recent hearing was for a storage shed, so as of late, hearings 38 have not been controversial. One of the more difficult decisions was regarding 39 the tear down of a house. The Board walked the property and talked about the 40 condition of the property. The Board ultimately decided the property was so 41 deteriorated it should be torn down. 42 43 Rowland stated that more than two years ago, there was a very simple colonial on 44 Piscatagua Street which the owner wanted to put an addition twice the size of the 45 house. The Board hired a consultant, Steve Bedard, who did an assessment of the 46

structure and provided some guidance. Miller asked how that turned out. The Chair stated the addition was built and it is quite an addition.

Miller asked what guidelines the Board used and whether it used the Secretary of the Interior Standards. Rowland stated the Board has its own zoning codes, some of which are specific and some are vague. The Board has developed solar voltaic guidelines because several applications were being submitted for this. We have also changed the application both for work sessions and public hearings and the town has a new website which shows where the historic district is located, and has a library of images the Board likes and doesn't like to see in the historic district.

Miller asked if the CLG had been provided the guidelines on solar voltaic and the Chair stated he would provide them. Miller advised that in mid-October an annual report is due and the guidelines should be attached to it.

The second question is regarding keeping an active and qualified commission, having enough members on the commission, providing training and that the Board meets at least four times a year.

The Chair advised Miller that the HDC Board is full except for one alternate and it has been putting the word out, looking to fill the vacancy. Also, the Board meets once a month but it has not done so during Covid. This is only our 4th meeting this year and our first zoom meeting. The Board met in person in July in the Recreation Hall.

Miller stated that if there are any new members, to please submit their resumes with the annual report. She then asked how the survey is going from the first grant obtained.

Rowland stated the survey company asked for an extension due to Covid and that he has not had much interaction with them. The town historian, Jim Cerny, had asked about the status and the survey company asked him to copy photos of historic images from the collection. Cerny is willing to provide the photos but would like to know how they want him to proceed and there has been no progress in the last few months.

Miller stated they were wondering if New Castle was getting to the second phase of the survey but the first phase needs to be completed. The Chair agreed as he wants to see what the report provides before beginning the second phase.

Miller asked if there is an inventory in record form in the town hall and accessible to folks. Rowland stated he is in the process of figuring out how to do the inventory. The Chair received the last inventory from Jim Cerny, which was done in the 1970s by someone in town who was very passionate but had no experience in historic preservation. For instance, the Piscataqua Café, which the Board considers a very important structure, is noted in the 1970's inventory as having no

historic significance other than some wicker furniture. An inventory is the kind of tool wherein the Board can reference specific property and its attributes, etc. Rowland is very much looking forward to getting this survey done so an inventory is available.

Miller asked about a building in town that developers want to tear down and build new on Wild Rose Lane. Rowland stated it's a sore subject for the woman doing the survey. The property was owned by Thayer and before that by Harrington. The current owners want to tear it down and it is an important structure but is not in the district, so where does that leave us, as a town, in trying to preserve it. The person who originally built and designed the home is very famous and the designer for the later owner, the Harringtons, is also very famous. We are hoping it can be documented if indeed it is going to be torn down.

Miller stated that unfortunately, the CLG is in the same predicament as they do not have much regulatory basis except to ask for the inventory form. She added that as part of the review process, the CLG has asked to hire a consultant. Lisa Mossoff has the entire history and photos and it is on the CLG database which Rowland should have access to.

Last question from Miller: since participating in the general historic preservation program, has there been any recent activity in town with the national register? Rowland advised that the town hall was added sometime in the last five years and the church has been on the national historic register for years. Miller asked if there was any interest in listing any other buildings in town.

Judy Groppa asked if the hotel is on the national register. The Chair stated he did not think so and that it may not qualify after all the changes that were made. Miller stated that it doesn't maintain enough integrity to be on the register and is not able to use federal tax credits because much of it was torn down. However, it is a very important building with a story to tell.

The Chair advised that the Historical Society's big project over the next few years is fundraising for a book that chronicles the history of New Castle, authored by Dennis Robinson. Rowland hopes the survey materials fit into that as well.

Miller asked if the Chair has utilized the CLG Emmet system and seen inventories done in the past, and utilized their photos, stating that the system is added to frequently. Rowland stated that Jim Cerny has used it and asked Amy Dixon if that was the login she sent to him and Jim Cerny, which was affirmed.

Miller then asked if there were any other big issues going on in town or something else the Board may be interested in. Chair Rowland's concern is the town hall. A few years ago, an architect was hired and the town attempted to get a bond to restore the town hall with the focus on the Knights of Pythios hall on the second floor. The second floor is currently unusable due to fire code. It is a

significant structure as a whole and the 2nd floor is very important. The Chair would like to see it restored and utilized, and the town wants to fix up the building, but Rowland believes there is a right way and a wrong way to do it. However, the town has to watch its budget because it is currently doing infrastructure so he would rather wait and do it right.

Miller asked if a conditions assessment had been done and the Chair stated an assessment was done through a planning study a few years ago. It was an item at a town meeting that people voted on. Miller had wondered if it had been paid through the LCHIP and Preservation Alliance and wanted to be sure the Board was aware that the LCHIP program assists with an assessment conditions report that prioritizes the condition of a building and gives direction on what should be done. Also the Preservation Alliance has a matching grant program of \$9,000.00 of which \$4500.00 goes to the town and the other half is a match. The grant could help with hiring someone like Steve Bedard to go through the building and provide ideas of what will be needed to preserve the building, what the cost is going to be, and help set the priorities of what should be done. She advised that the Board should check that the assessment report done follows the format of the Preservation Alliance. Then the Board can prioritize what needs to be done, take the project in pieces and pursue LCHIP funding for smaller pieces.

The Chair stated that LCHIP is open to the concept of utilization of historic space and that it is important to preserve that space, and to make it code compliant; they have grants that add sprinkler systems, egress, etc. The big cost in historic structures is meeting requirements to make the building handicap accessible and install sprinkler systems and that is difficult on the second floor.

Miller stated that if you hire a developer that is not knowledgeable on historic buildings, they may overbuild to comply with code and not realize that historic buildings have some flexibility so you really have to hire someone knowledgeable in historic buildings.

Rowland stated he is concerned with the tremendous amount of money moving into New Castle and understands that many people want to make a house their own. Having a tool such as the historic survey, that explains the house they are purchasing is significant in its own right and why, can hopefully temper any massive change or overbuilding. Miller agreed the goal is to educate people and she would like to see the town get to the next phase and finalize the survey.

The Chair agreed that the Board needs to get to the second phase, do training, and get people interested in the architecture in town. The quarterly newsletter and Island magazine would be a good place to communicate an understanding of this.

Kate Murray stated the town also wants to appeal to people's desire to become part of the community, maintaining a sense of neighborliness and preserving a part of history. She hopes the survey can be used to that end also.

Miller confirmed that the town would not be applying to the CLG in the next round of grants but if there was something smaller the Board may be interested in pursuing, to reach out to her. The Chair stated this first piece of the survey is very important to the Board and he would like to get it completed but he is also interested in training. Miller stated they are hoping to get virtual training to communities and that the Municipal Association Conference (also called the Planners Conference) was rescheduled from spring to October 31st and she is giving a presentation on design guidelines for HDCs. The conference is going to be virtual through the Office of Strategic Initiatives.

Miller stated that if Rowland had any questions about the schedule on the existing grant, she would see what can be done to push things along, adding that Preservation Company gets busy and sometimes you have to redirect them to your project. Nadine Miller and Amy Dixon then left the meeting.

The Board talked about the house on Wild Rose Lane that had just been sold for about \$5 million. It is the last house on the left, a huge property with a tennis court. The Chair stated that people want the view but don't want to deal with an old stone structure. Kate Murray stated that it's a fabulous house and a big enough property that they wouldn't have to go to any commission in town for approvals. The Chair stated that depends on the setbacks and where they want to build. One of the things affecting the property is that every storm goes over the wall and devastates the property and perhaps they are thinking the building has to go to address those issues.

2. <u>Public Hearing Rebecca Rej Audet, 31 Oliver Street, for new front porch railing (in kind replacement) and a new front door.</u>

The Chair stated that the applicant wants to tie in the front door to the window design. Kate Murray asked if the door would have four glass panels and the Chair confirmed yes, it would.

The zoom link was shared with the applicant but the Board was not clear if they were joining the zoom meeting. Tom Maher had the applicant's phone number so he reached out via text to see if she would join the meeting.

The Board discussed how the application was sent and a couple members had not seen the plans. Rowland showed the plan of the door with 4 lights and 3 panels as well as the existing door. Audet is keeping the raised panel detail but changing the light configuration on the door to match the windows. The railing is being replaced in kind.

The Chair asked if the commission had any questions.

Rebecca Rej Audet joined the meeting via zoom. The Chair advised the applicant 1 2 that he had just walked through the docs submitted with the members of the Board and confirmed with her that the plans submitted contained two pages with two 3 4 different door styles and that Audet wanted the four light. 5 6 Kate Murray asked what the railing was going to be made out of and Audet stated 7 it would be mahogany and will be painted. Murray thought it looked good. 8 Jeff Hughes moved for approval of the application as submitted; Murray 9 M/S/Pseconded. All in favor including the Chair. 10 11 12 Rowland advised Audet he would sign the application and email it to town hall and thanked her for joining the meeting. 13 14 3. Review of July minutes. 15 16 17 Jeff Hughes stated that given where some of our meetings are virtual, should the minutes identify where it was held or that the meeting was virtual. It was added 18 to the July minutes that the meeting was held at the Rec Center. Kate Murray 19 thought "period worthy" required a hyphen. 20 21 M/S/P 22 Hughes moved for approval of the July minutes with the addition of identifying the location of the meeting and a hyphen; Irene Bush seconded. All in favor, 23 including the Chair. 24 25 26 The Board had some discussion on the CLG information that had been received from Nadine Miller. The Chair stated the controversial property he had referred 27 to was 25 Piscatagua Street, across from Irene Bush's driveway. There was also 28 29 the people who bought Bruce and Norma Smiths' house and wanted a deck on the second floor for a water view, and they ended up selling the house because the 30 Board would not give approval. Rowland stated he worries about more things 31 like that because people want their water view. 32 33 The Board had a short discussion on a recent Planning Board meeting with regard 34 to the boat house located at the entrance to town. 35 36 M/S/P37 Hughes moved to adjourn the meeting; seconded by Murray. All in favor including the Chair. 38 39 Meeting adjourned at 7:25 pm. 40 41 42 Respectfully submitted, Diane L. Cooley, Recording Secretary 43 44 45

46