APPROVED MINUTES 1 2 **FEBRUARY 2, 2023** 3 **HDC MEETING** 4 5 Chair Rodney Rowland, Vice Chair Irene Bush, Jane Finn, Kate Murray, Members 6 Present: Judy Groppa and Ruth Zikaras. 7 8 Absent: Julia Thomas 9 10 The meeting was held in the Macomber Room. Chair Rowland called the meeting of the New Castle Historic District Commission to order at 7:00 pm. Rowland 11 asked anyone who was going to speak to sign in. Ruth Zikaras recused herself 12 from the public hearing as she is a neighbor of Karen Fay. All other members 13 present will be voting on the public hearing. 14 15 The Chair spoke on the Findings of Fact to be referenced by the Commission in 16 all approvals or disapprovals, pursuant to a relatively new RSA. Rowland stated 17 that there are a couple of options to the Findings of Fact which the Board will 18 discuss later this evening. The Commission will use the Findings of Fact used at 19 last month's meeting when voting on the application before it this evening, which 20 the Chair obtained from the City of Portsmouth. If the Commission is approving 21 an application, it doesn't have to use all four of the Findings of Fact, however, if 22 the Commission is disapproving an application, it must use as many Findings of 23 Fact as appropriate for its decision. 24 25 26 1. Public Hearing for Karen Fay, 108 Main Street, Map 18, Lot 1 27 Guests: Karen Fay, Pat Driscoll of Star Island Builders, Tom Smith 28 29 30 Karen Fay lives at 108 Main Street and believes it is a very special property in the historic district. She is from Boston and bought unit 3 at 108 Main Street six 31 years ago, and four years ago she bought unit 2. She currently lives in unit 3 and 32 rents unit 2. Fay would like to retire and make New Castle her permanent 33 residence. 108 Main Street was built in 1785 and at one point in its history, it was 34 a general store. In 1985 the building was purchased and expanded and then 35 converted into three condos; each condo has 2 bedrooms and 1.5 baths. Ms. Fay 36 would like to expand unit 2 for her residence and have room for children and 37 grandkids when they come to visit. She is working with Maugel DeStefano 38 Architects and is very happy with the design. Fay respects the historic nature of 39 the house and in keeping with the period, has made every effort to complement 40 the existing look of the house and has also worked with the neighbors. She enjoys 41 her neighbors and everyone seems to work well together. Fay added that so far, 42 all the neighbors are verbally on board with her plan. 43 44 45 The Chair asked Fay to walk the Commission through her submission. The small

46

packet contains the front and rear elevations and photos of the current condition

of the house. The left side of the building has a six foot jut out with the entrance to unit 2 at the ground level, and upon entry, there are stairs which go up to the main level of the unit. Fay would like to expand the left side of the building an additional 12 feet, for the entire depth of the building which is 18 feet, for all three levels. The entry will be a mudroom, the second floor will have the kitchen and living room and possibly an office, and the third level will have bedrooms.

The Chair asked whether the windows would be re-used or replaced. Fay stated the windows in the unit were fairly recently replaced with Harvey windows. The existing windows will stay in place and any new windows on the addition will match the existing windows. Kate Murray asked how does the stairway stay in place if the building is being bumped out. Pat Driscoll of Star Island Builders stated the current entrance is on the side and they intend to keep that side entrance but it will be 12' further out. You will enter into a vestibule to reduce heat loss and then into a mud room, before the stairway to the second level. There is currently a trash shed against the house and that space will remain for common storage, recycles and trash but will become a 4 x 8 storage area built into the house and have double doors matching the current doors on the shed.

The application packet also contains a picture of the door for unit 3 which has an entrance on the back of the house and was recently replaced; Fay intends to use this same type door for the side entrance door for Unit 2. Kate Murray asked if the back of the house can be seen from the road; Rowland stated you can only see the side. Fay stated she appeared before the HDC 6 years ago when she put a café deck addition on Unit 3. She stated you cannot see the back from Main Street and can only see a very small part of the house from Piscataqua Street.

The Chair asked about the materials list and whether it was the intention to match the trim detail in every way. Fay stated she would like cedar shakes on the addition, stating that if the addition is a neutral color, it will give flexibility to paint the remaining house any color in the future. There are currently no cedar shakes on the house, it is all clapboard, confirming that she would like cedar shakes only on the new addition, left side jut out, and the main house will remain clapboard.

The Chair again asked if the corner boards with details will remain the same as the main house, as well as the window trim and dormer detailing. Judy Groppa commented that the corner boards look like a thin strip. Fay said by design it does look more narrow than the trim on the main house but she is willing to put the same trim as the house if the Commission preferred. Pat Driscoll said he has done a good amount of historic work in town and is currently working in Portsmouth for a client that is the owner of a preservation company. Driscoll stated his company is a bit knowledgeable about historic properties. As designed, the corner boards and trim details will be in proportion to the size of the house, being a little smaller so as not to detract from the historic main house but the details will be complementary. The Chair stated that was fine but the

Commission cannot vote on something they have no information on. The materials need to be specified in the application and the plan says "To be determined" for the siding and the applicant has indicated cedar shakes, but the trim detail is needed so the Chair can advise the building inspector. Rowland stated that on a project of this scale, it is always helpful to do a work session. Driscoll asked the Chair if he wanted to see soffit and rake board detail and Rowland stated the Commission needed to know precisely what was being approved. If the original trim is wider than the proposed trim on the addition, those details are required. Fay stated they are proposing 6" corner boards, the rake to match facing the street, and door and window trim of 5" which is documented on the form under dimensions. The Chair asked if they can get the proposed door and Fay confirmed yes as she just installed the same door on the entrance to unit 3 and would like the same design for unit 2, adding that it's a Simpson door. Driscoll advised that although the application says Anderson 400 windows they will use Harvey Tribute DH (double hung) windows, 6 over 6, to match the house.

Again there was discussion of the view from Piscataqua Street, and Fay stated you may see a very small part of the upper two floors from some vantage point on Piscataqua. However, 108 Main Street is on a hill and as you go up the driveway behind the house, it continues up and then you look down to Piscataqua, so you cannot see the entire back of the house because you are looking uphill from Piscataqua. Fay stated one may be able to see the very top of the new addition.

Rowland asked Fay about the back façade and whether the main house is staying the same, particularly interested in the deck railing and whether it was being changed but it is not. Fay pointed out on the plan to Commission members what was existing and what was new on the back of the house.

Fay confirmed she is not combining unit 2 and 3, she is keeping them separate. She is just expanding unit 2 for more room for retirement and having children and grandchildren visit.

Rowland asked Fay if she needed any variances and yes, she has submitted a zoning variance application and the hearing is upcoming in February. It's a variance for volume/lot coverage. There is an 8' right of way granted to the neighbor on the left as you enter the driveway and another right of way winds up behind the house to the Gardner's house behind the condominium.

There are 6 deeded parking spaces, four of which are stacked parking behind the house and will remain the same. Another two spaces are on the side of the house. The parking will improve and will not interfere with the right of ways. The back parking is for units 1 and 3; parking for unit 2 has one spot on the side and another diagonal spot by the storage shed. With this project, unit 2 will have two parking spaces, side by side on the side of the building.

 Jane Finn asked if the parking spaces were in front of the new addition rather than to the side and Fay confirmed that yes, as one of the spots exists there today. Driscoll showed the Commission the parking spaces on the plan, adding that there is room for 2 cars next to each other, and they are not in the right of way. The stone wall in front of the house will remain.

The Chair asked whether there would be shutters such as on the main house, and questioned the shed roof over the side entry and shed doors. Fay is not having shutters on the addition and the shed roof is primarily for coverage over the doors and for aesthetics. Fay thought the shed roofs match the overall design, adding that unit 3 which is in back, has no coverage and is not pleasant in a storm. The Chair asked about the brackets for the shed roofs, as they are not presently on the house. However, there are brackets on the back of the house but they cannot be seen from the main street. The Chair stated an element is being introduced that is not visible from Main Street and it should tie into the main structure. Driscoll stated he can work on the aesthetic of the bracket but would like to keep the protection over the doors. Rowland asked if the main concern was the entry door rather than storage shed and Fay confirmed that yes, it is. From a building perspective, Driscoll stated when you don't have cover over a door, there is concern with water coming in with wind driven rain, adding that he could build an overhang and attach it to the side of the house without brackets.

The Chair asked Commission members if there were more questions. Kate Murray asked about the front dormer on the addition and was informed it is for the master bathroom. The Vice Chair asked about the brackets under the bumpout window on the back. The Chair responded that the bracket detail is currently on the house but cannot be seen from Main Street and to introduce the brackets on the side of the house, is basically a new detail. Everything else is keeping with the existing architecture so Rowland asked if it can be made to blend in better.

The Chair opened the meeting to the public at 7:33 pm. Tom Smith spoke in favor, adding that 40 years ago, he bought this building when it was a general store, called the Great Island Store. Smith showed a picture of the building before it was converted into a house. Smith ran the store for six months and put two units in upstairs, and after the store was gone, the house was made into 3 units. At the time, the town didn't have a historic district but he was concerned about keeping the building historically accurate. There were four windows on the 2nd floor and picture windows on the first floor. He did research on the building and discovered that 108 Main Street was built like 116 Main Street, the former McCarthy property. 108 Main Street was originally one level and in the mid 1800's, the roof was picked up and a second story was added. The second floor had a Knights of Pithius hall. Smith believes Fay's proposal is a wonderful change. He was the first to have a condominium in New Castle. Smith stated he has a real affection for this building and is proud of this building. He believes Fay's changes will be a perfect addition and architecturally compatible.

The Chair closed the public hearing at 7:38 pm.

Rowland stated he believes the addition is in keeping with the existing architecture. Although at first he was concerned about the massing, you don't see the addition when coming from the direction of the post office and when approaching along Main Street into town, the addition is set back. The Chair would like to simplify the shed roof and lose the brackets.

Groppa stated she is troubled by the single dormer. The Chair stated that as there are two dormers on the main house and one on the addition, scale wise, it's appropriate. Groppa agreed with the scale, but commented that dormers are usually in pairs, but there's not room for two dormers. Kate Murray said the addition will look better aesthetically when coming into town, adding that at first, she was concerned with the massing, but it is pushed back, architecturally calming and similar to the rest of the building.

Irene Bush is concerned about the back of the house, particularly the upper 2 floors with two decks and a bump-out. The Chair again asked if those are visible from Piscataqua Street. Fay said the existing 3rd level deck can be seen from the river and a small portion of the 3rd level may be seen from Piscataqua Street but she doesn't believe the 2nd level deck can be seen from Piscataqua. Tom Smith said one can only see the 3rd floor from Piscataqua Street. The Chair stated the visibility is very limited, you cannot see much and the HDC has approved decks before when visibility is so minimal.

 Rowland stated he needed a motion and Murray asked how complicated did the motion need to be and would it be better if there was a spec sheet. The Chair stated he had made notes on his copy and acknowledged most of the materials and dimensions are on the application. Rowland noted the change of the door to a Simpson door, the change of the windows to Harvey Tribute windows and cedar shakes for the siding. Fay stated she is ok with removing the brackets for the shed roof but deferred to Driscoll as to whether that is possible. Driscoll stated he will check with the engineer and will come back before the Commission if that is not possible.

The Commission discussed how to apply the Findings of Fact. The addition complements the architectural value of the existing structure.

M/S/P

Kate Murray moved to approve the applicant's proposal for the property at 108 Main Street, Map 18, Lot 1, Unit 2 as presented in the application received 1-10-2023, with the condition that the brackets on the shed roof on the new addition be removed. Approval is based on Finding of Fact #1 that this meets "The special and defining character of surrounding properties, including architectural details, design, height, scale, mass, width of surrounding structures, street frontages, types of roofs, facades and openings." Irene Bush seconded. All in favor, including the Chair.

2. Work Session for Mary and Ron Pressman, 34 Oliver Street - Front Lot, Map 16, Lot 40

 Guests: Mary Pressman, Michael Cerbone and Caulen Finch of Maugel DeStefano Architects.

The Chair stated this work session is for a brand new house being proposed for where the tennis courts were on Oliver Street. The tennis courts are gone and it will soon be a newly created lot. Cerbone said the new house will be set back 35' from the street. There will be a main house, garage and a connector, all in a traditional New England early colonial style.

The Chair asked about the site plan and where the tennis courts were. The plan shows a new driveway on Oliver Street and the existing driveway is being removed. Ruth Zikaras asked Cerbone to confirm where the property line is. There will be a right of way shared between the two properties. Mary Pressman said the barn, which is remaining, will be with the front lot, explaining that the intention of moving the driveway from one side of the lot to the other was to allow the lot to be contiguous and it would be a nicer lot than having it split. The driveway is being moved to what will be the east side of the house. The Chair asked about the stone wall and was informed that it will be moved to open the driveway.

Michael Cerbone went through the materials, stating they will keep the New England colonial traditional and will have painted cedar clapboard on the front, the sides will have natural cedar shingles, the connector will also have cedar clapboards, and the garage will have board and batten to echo the barn. Boral will be used for the cornerboards and exterior trim and the chimneys will be brick clad. The windows will be Marvin Elevate, which are wood framed composite windows with simulated, divided light spacer bars, and a composite material on the exterior. Pressman stated she has used these windows before and they are quality windows and one would never know they are not wood on the outside and they don't have to be painted. The roof will be architectural asphalt shingles.

Ruth Zikaras asked about the chimney and Cerbone stated it will be a thin brick veneer that looks like brick, gets grouted and mortared. Rowland asked if it would be a plywood box, straight chimney, with no detailing, and also asked that they bring a sample of the veneer to the hearing. Cerbone stated there may be profile detail on the top, but it will be traditional and he will include the detail for the hearing.

The Chair stated he loves the garage and how it blends with the barn. Murray asked whether the kitchen window will be a box bay window and was advised that it will be built up but not visible from the street. The Chair asked how

 stepped back is the connector from the main house and was informed approximately 6 feet.

The Chair asked if the Commission had more questions. Zikaras asked about the height of the house and whether it was 53'. Cerbone indicated that the elevation is 53', the ridge height is 31' and a few inches from grade, which is just below maximum. Cerbone was asked if any variances were needed and he stated everything complies with zoning.

Groppa asked about the shutters and Cerbone advised they would be wood with mounted brackets as that will be more appropriate for the historic district. The front door and surround design was taken from a house down the street that has similar surrounding detail. The door will be all panels, with no glass and is manufactured by Marvin. There will be glass sidelights. The pilaster detail was taken from 57 Oliver Street that faces the river, which also has a transom window above and sidelights.

The Chair asked if there are any proposed fences or decks. No, however, there will be a patio outside. Pervious material will be used for the driveway. The lot is 24,000 SF and is nearing 20% coverage as the barn is part of that. Murray asked about the materials for the pervious driveway but they haven't yet discussed this as they are looking for something attractive that is easy to maintain and plow. Patios and driveways are not under the HDC, this comes under the Planning Board perview.

General consensus of the Commission was that the plan was well thought out and appropriate for the historic district. There is a subdivision of the lot being done and there will be another presentation before the HDC at a later time for the other lot. The Pressmans intend to live in the Palmer house in the back lot and are building this house to control what goes there.

The Chair advised that it looks like everything needed is here although the Commission would like to see the brick detail at the hearing. It was asked if there is any foundation exposure and whether it will be veneered. Pressman stated they really hadn't discussed that as exposure is in the rear of the property and will be minimal. The Chair stated that if the foundation was exposed along the front, the Commission would want to know the material.

Cerbone asked if there are any details the Commission would want shown at the hearing, adding that the chimney veneer and the material list would of course be provided. Groppa stated she would like to see a sample of the shutters and the hardware for hanging them. Groppa also asked about the existing stone wall. A portion of the wall where the new driveway will go will be taken down, and the existing stone materials re-used for any rebuilding in other areas.

3. Approve minutes from January 5, 2023

3 M/S/P

Kate Murray moved to approve the amended minutes of January 5, 2023; Irene Bush seconded; All in favor, including the Chair.

4. Any New Business

 The Chair asked Jane Finn if the town's lawyer would be representing the HDC at the hearing on Springer's appeal at the zoning meeting. The lawyer has the minutes and Findings of Fact.

 The Chair stated that the preservation company that did phase 1 of the historic survey, has since been sold and is now owned by Reagan Reudig, Vice Chair of the Portsmouth HDC. Rowland spoke to Reudig and asked if the preservation company was ready for more work, because when he spoke to the former owner and Covid hit, they were overwhelmed. The Chair participated in a zoom meeting with the Division of Historical Resources, and the intent to submit for a grant is due in 30 days and the grant application due in June but the Chair advised that he does not have time as he is traveling for two weeks in June. The Chair stated unless someone wants to step in, the application may have to wait until 2024.

The Chair stated the next part of the survey will be to dig down into buildings in the historic district, to know when they were built, what architecture is from what period, about any additions to each property, including the windows, doors, etc. and what is original and what is not. The next part of the survey would tell us so much more. Kate Murray asked how clear the instructions for the application for the grant are. Rowland stated it's only a few pages and you work closely with the preservation company and you also need budget information from the town. He said the timeline is doable but with his travel schedule and a new CEO at Strawbery Banke, he cannot do it right now. Jane Finn proposed that Etoile Holzaepfel is a good grant writer. Rowland stated the application is filed online this year, which is something new, and there's no match. Ruth Zikaras asked the Chair to share the link for information about the grant and the Chair agreed to forward the link for the fiscal year 2023 application for the CLG Grant, adding that the link has lots of helpful information.

The Chair wanted to discuss the Findings of Fact needed for each hearing, adding that at last month's meeting in January and again for tonight's meeting, the Commission used the Findings of Fact used by Portsmouth. Rowland has a meeting with the New Castle town lawyer on February 7th. He did some research on other towns and provided a copy of Bedford's compliance for Findings of Fact, a copy of which Rowland provided to all Commission members, asking that they review. Rowland stated that if the Commission is approving an application, it is easier to cite compliance with a Finding of Fact. However, if the Commission is not approving an application, the Commission must cite specific

criteria and it is best to address more than one criteria that does not comply, for the proposal being voted down. Rowland stated that if the application doesn't fit, the Commission must pick out a certain area of the code that the application doesn't comply with. The Chair liked the language of the Bedford Findings of Fact as it appeared easier to apply. The Chair will meet with the town attorney and be sure the Findings of Fact which Bedford uses is acceptable. Rowland will also ask the attorney if this needs to go into the zoning ordinance or simply is a guideline as an HDC tool. Either way, the Chair will be sure it is formalized and written into HDC guidelines.

As for the Master plan language developed so far, the Chair stated that Irene Bush had some edits on climate change which were incorporated as the Commission is grappling with preservation techniques to handle water and storms. The Master Plan committee meets in another week; one member will pull the google document and will put it into one language because it has been written by eight different people, so there will soon be a compiled document. Once that is done, a public hearing will be scheduled for feedback. The old master plan was about 8 pages, this new one will be much bigger.

Groppa asked about technology, wondering if the commission would need to describe more of what that means because if the Commission doesn't come up with specific materials and guidelinees, with technology changing every year, someone will say "this is what everyone is doing". Technology should be developed into the master plan. Rowland added that solar is also under technology and solar is the issue now but who knows what the technology will be in ten years. The Chair stated that there is someone adamant that New Castle must allow generators. Kate Murray asked whether there are restrictions that generators have to be 5' from the house and Jane Finn mentioned the solar restrictions to panels being X inches from the edge of the roof so firemen can get on the roof. At least generators are temporary for a storm. Groppa stated an issue to resolve generators would be underground electrical such as in the Wentworth Association. The streets are so pretty because everything is underground, it's safer and you don't lose electricity because trees cannot fall on the lines, however it is very costly and the expense is usually born by the homeowner. Rowland stated when Portsmouth did the Court Street corridor, they were asked to put utilities underground but water, sewer, and electrical have to be separate and the street was not wide enough. There are many factors to putting utilities underground.

5. Adjournment

M/S/P Irene Bush moved to adjourn; Jane Finn seconded. All approved.

Adjourned 8:29 pm Diane L. Cooley, Recording Secretary